CSE 12: Basic data structures and object-oriented design

Jacob Whitehill jake@mplab.ucsd.edu

Lecture Fourteen 24 Aug 2011

More on generics.

Collections to hold data of type T

- Up to now we have discussed generics in its simplest usage -- store data of an arbitrary type T in a container.
 - This worked fine for lists/arrays/stacks/ queues, in which we ignore any order relations among the elements.
- Sometimes, however, the type т cannot be "just any old Object" -- type т must sometimes satisfy some conditions.

Constraints on T

- An example of this is the HeapImp112 class you are building for P4.
 - The elements must all be Comparable -- the heap implementation needs to be able to call compareTo
 (o) on every element stored in the tree.
 - If we place no restrictions on T, then the Java compiler cannot guarantee that an arbitrary element of the _nodeArray will actually be Comparable.

Constraints on T

• Suppose we add three objects to a heap:

```
heap = new Heap12<Object>();
heap.add("Michael"); // OK: String is Comparable
heap.add("Bolton"); // OK: String is Comparable
heap.add(new Object()); // Not OK: Object not Comparable
```

- Internally, the HeapImp112 class will need to call compareTo on all objects to implement bubbleUp and trickleDown, e.g.:
 - if (_nodeArray[idx1].compareTo(_nodeArray[idx2]) < 0) {</pre>
 - But if idx1 refers to the Object we added, this method will fail because Object does not implement the Comparable interface.

- What we want is a way of enforcing that the type parameter T allowed by the HeapImp112 class -- as well as the Heap12 interface itself -- be of type Comparable.
- Java generics facilitates these constraints on T by supporting bounds on type parameters.
- Suppose, when implementing a generic class with type parameter T, we want to *ensure* that T must be *some sub-class* of a class A.
 - Example: we want to implement a container for Shape objects -- we don't care what *particular* kind of Shapes they are, so long as they all *inherit from* the Shape class.

To implement a generic class with the guarantee that type parameter T is a
 Shape, we can use an **upper bound** on T:

```
class MyContainer<T extends Shape> {
```

}

- Here, Shape is the upper bound on type parameter T.
 - MyContainer can only be instantiated when T is Shape, or any sub-class of Shape.



 Given this upper bound on T, the Java compiler will enforce that T be of type Shape:

MyContainer<Shape> container1 =
 new MyContainer<Shape>(); // OK

```
MyContainer<Circle> container2 =
    new MyContainer<Circle>(); // OK
```

```
MyContainer<Object> container4 =
    new MyContainer<Object>(); // Not OK
```

Compiler error message: type parameter java.lang.Object is not within its bound MyContainer<Object> container4 = new MyContainer<Object>();

```
MyContainer<Student> container3 =
    new MyContainer<Student>(); // Not OK
```

- We can also require that type **T** implement some interface.
 - For example, a HeapImp112 should only store elements that are all Comparable.
- Java generics gives us this power:

```
class HeapImpl12<T extends Comparable> implements Heap12<T> {
    ...
}
```

- The "extends Comparable" enforces that any T we pass in as the type parameter *must* be of type Comparable.
 - Since Comparable is an *interface*, this means that type T must *implement* the interface Comparable (even though we use the word "extends").

 With this restriction on T in place, we can no longer instantiate a HeapImp112 with a type parameter T that does not implement Comparable:

// String and Integer are both Comparable
HeapImpl12<String> heap1 = new HeapImpl12<String>(); // OK
HeapImpl12<Integer> heap2 = new HeapImpl12<Integer>(); // OK

// Next line won't compile because Object is not Comparable
HeapImpl12<Object> heap3 = new HeapImpl12<Object>();

- The Java compiler will prevent us from instantiating a heap with a non-Comparable type.
- We may also wish to define the *interface* Heap12 to accept only those types **T** that implement Comparable:

interface Heap12<T extends Comparable> {

}

- In the previous example, Comparable was the upper bound of T.
- The Comparable interface takes a type parameter of its own.

```
interface Comparable<U> {
    int compareTo (U o);
}
```

(In the previous example, we used the Comparable interface in "compatibility mode", where we did not specify v).

• The type parameter **v** specifies what kinds of objects o we should be able to compare to.

- By offering bounds on type parameters, Java also gives us the power to define what kinds of objects u we can compareTo, in terms of the type T we've already defined.
- Example: class HeapImpl12<T extends Comparable<T>> ... { ... }
- Here, we require that whatever type T the HeapImpl12 is instantiated with, it *must* be Comparable to other objects of type T.

• Consider the following example:

```
class B { }
class A implements Comparable<B> {
   int compareTo (B o) {
     return 0;
   }
}
```

- Given the definitions above, an object of type A can only be compared to objects of type B.
 final A a = new A();
 final B b = new B();
 final int result = a.compareTo(b); // OK
 - We cannot compare **a** to another object of type **A**!

• Given our definition of HeapImp112,

```
class HeapImpl12<T extends Comparable<T>> ... {
   ...
}
```

if we try to instantiate a HeapImp112 with A as the type parameter...

```
HeapImpl12<A> heap = new HeapImpl12<A>();
```

... the compiler will complain:

type parameter A is not within its bound
HeapImpl12<A> h = new HeapImpl12<A>();

• This error occurs because, even though A is Comparable to something (B), it is not Comparable<A>.

- On the other hand,
 - String implements Comparable<String>
 - Integer implements Comparable<Integer>
- Both String and Integer would be accepted as type parameters for HeapImp112:

HeapImpl12<String> h1 = new HeapImpl12<String>();
HeapImpl12<Integer> h2 = new HeapImpl12<Integer>();
Both are OK

- While useful, our current definition of HeapImp112 is a bit overly restrictive.
- Consider a hierarchy of Shape classes:

```
class Shape implements Comparable<Shape> {
    int compareTo (Shape o) { ... }
}
class Rectangle extends Shape {
    ...
}
```

• The Rectangle class inherits the compareTo (Shape o) method from its parent Shape class.

- However, Rectangle does not offer a method compareTo (Rectangle o) designed specifically for other Rectangle objects.
- Hence, the Rectangle class could not be used as the type parameter T when instantiating a HeapImp112:

class HeapImpl12<T extends Comparable<T>> ...

- Reason: Even though Rectangle is Comparable to other Shape objects, it is not Comparable<Rectangle>.
 - I.e., Rectangle offers no int compareTo (Rectangle o) method.

Lower bounds on types

- What we need is a way of expressing that type parameter T may be Comparable with class T, or any super-class of T.
 - E.g., we want to allow HeapImp112 to store Rectangle objects:
 - Rectangles are all Comparable with Shape, where Shape is a super-class of Rectangle.
- To solve this problem, Java offers
 lower bounds on type
 parameters.





Lower bounds on types

• For example, we can allow the HeapImp112 class to accept any type T so long as T is Comparable to class T, or any super-class of T.

```
class HeapImpl12<T extends Comparable<? super T>> ... {
   ...
}
```

- The wildcard type ? indicates:
 - "We don't care which type T is Comparable to, so long as it's Comparable to some super-class of T (or T itself)."
 - The keyword super indicates the lower bound of the type parameter.

Lower bounds on types

 Given this revised definition of HeapImpl12, we can now instantiate a heap of Rectangle objects:

HeapImpl12<Rectangle> heap =
 new HeapImpl12<Rectangle>(); // OK